



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_49876
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of In vitro Tetraploid plants of Hevea brasiliensis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is well structured and nicely written. The protocol developed is very good, which could pave a way for further refinement by others or by the same group. 	<p>Thank you for the positive remarks</p> <p>We will surely do the refinements and will use it for further studies</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author should include appropriate full form of growth regulators and media used in abstract part. the author should replaceCallus used as explant to..... immature inflorescence derived callus used for colchicine treatment. Autoclaved media?? should be replaced with the name of media. Give space <i>Invitro.....in vitro (abstract part)</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Callus used as explant has been replaced to immature inflorescence derived callus used for colchicine treatment. Autoclaved media has been replaced with the name of media. Space has been given for <i>Invitro.....in vitro (abstract part)</i> Growth regulators has been returned in short form only as it is the standardised format which is known by everyone. In general in research paper we feel it will be good to write the short form of growth regulators.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Its better if author show pictures of different stages of embryo (Globular, heart and cotyledonary) by histological studies.....optional 	It can be taken into consideration and histological studies will be done



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	